INVESTIGATING THE USE OF STANDARDIZED TESTING AS A MEASURE OF STUDENT LEARNING IN THE PAKISTANI EDUCATION SYSTEM

[1]SYED GHAZANFER ABBAS, [2]ANWAR MAHJABEEN, [3]MAHEK YOUSAF, [4]DR. SEHRISH KASHAN, [5]DR. ZIA UR REHMAN, [6]ZOHAIB HASSAN SIAN

[1]PhD Scholar, Faculty of Education, International Islamic University, Islamabad Pakistan; [2]English Lecturer at University of Hail, Hail City, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; [3]Applied linguistics(umt), Lecturer English(Superior College Sialkot); [4]Ph.D. Education, Department of Educational Sciences, NUML, Islamabad, Pakistan; [5]Ph.D. Education, Department of Educational Sciences, NUML, Islamabad, Pakistan; [6]Researcher, Superior University
[1] syed.ghazanfer51214@gmail.com; [2]maha.umt@gmail.com; [3]mehakyousaf42@yahoo.com; [6]zohaib3746@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This quantitative research study investigated the use of standardized testing as a measure of student learning within the Pakistani education system. The research aimed to assess the efficacy and dependability of standardized tests in evaluating students' knowledge and skills, while considering the implications for educational policies and practices. The objectives of this research were as follows: To assess students' perceptions of how their performance in standardized tests aligns with their perceived learning outcomes and understanding in their academic subjects. To evaluate the perceived reliability and validity of standardized tests as a measure of student learning in the Pakistani context through the collection of perspectives and experiences from teachers and students. to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the current standardized testing practices in Pakistan. to delve into the viewpoints and encounters of both teachers and students concerning the utilization of standardized tests within the education system. To investigate the potential impact of standardized testing on teaching methodologies, curriculum development, and educational policies. Data was collected by using a structured questionnaire developed based on established measurement scales and validated instruments. The questionnaire was assessed students' performance on standardized tests, their perceptions of the test's effectiveness, and their motivation towards learning. Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviations, were used to analyze the quantitative data. The findings of this study will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of standardized testing as an assessment tool in the Pakistani education system. The research outcomes will contribute to the existing body of knowledge on educational assessment practices and inform policymakers, educators, and stakeholders on potential improvements and reforms in the use of standardized testing. Ultimately, the study aims to enhance the quality and fairness of student assessment, leading to improved educational outcomes in Pakistan. The study's findings offer crucial insights into the efficacy of standardized testing as an assessment tool in the Pakistani education system. These outcomes contribute to the existing knowledge on educational assessment practices, informing policymakers, educators, and stakeholders about potential improvements and reforms in standardized testing. Ultimately, the research aimed to enhance the fairness and quality of student assessment, leading to improved educational outcomes in Pakistan.

***Keywords**: Standardized Test, Student Learning. Measurement, Pakistani Education system*

INTRODUCTION:

The effectiveness of standardized testing and its implications for evaluating student learning are hotly contested issues in educational systems around the world. The use of standardized testing as a gauge of student learning in the context of the Pakistani educational system has garnered a lot of attention and experienced multiple revisions over time. Assessing students' knowledge, skills, and competences in a variety of disciplines is a crucial process that forms the basis for judgments made about educational policies, teacher evaluations, and overall accountability in the educational system. Standardized tests are used in Pakistan at all educational levels, from primary to intermediate to post-secondary. These tests are intended to determine how well students comprehend the material covered in the curriculum, point out areas in which they still need to develop, and serve as a standard by which to measure educational results. The goal of standardized testing is to keep educational standards uniform across the nation and is closely related to the national curriculum. A. Khan (2019). The Secondary School Certificate (SSC) exam is one of Pakistan's most well-known standardized assessments and is administered by several educational boards nationwide. After completing their secondary education, pupils take the SSC exam, which is a crucial factor in determining their ability to move on to further education. Another important evaluation point is the Higher Secondary School Certificate (HSSC) exam, which is given to students after they have finished their intermediate schooling. S. Malik (2020) The Pakistani educational system uses standardized testing to accomplish a number of important goals. First of all, it offers an unbiased assessment of student learning that may be used to pinpoint deficiencies in the educational system and enable decision-makers decide how best to allocate resources and revise curricula. Second, it helps educators assess how well their techniques are meeting the requirements of their pupils and modify them accordingly. Finally, it helps students prepare for future academic and employment prospects by helping them identify their own strengths and shortcomings. (Pakistan's Ministry of Education. 2021) Nonetheless, there are drawbacks and objections to the use of standardized testing in Pakistan. Many times at the expense of a more thorough and all-encompassing education, concerns have been expressed about the excessive stress and pressure placed on students to perform well on these tests. Some who oppose standardized testing contend that an excessive emphasis on the exams can result in "teaching to the test," in which teachers prepare their pupils only for the test instead of helping them gain a deeper comprehension of the material (Rehman, A., 2018).

LITERATURE REVIEW:

1. Standardized Testing in Education

The process of giving tests or assessments to students in a consistent, controlled setting with a predetermined format and scoring system in order to measure their knowledge, skills, and abilities consistently and comparably is known as standardized testing in education. These assessments are intended to be impartial and fair, enabling the comparison of a student's performance to a preset benchmark or set of requirements. Standardized test results are frequently utilized in education for a variety of reasons, including evaluating student learning, guiding instructional decisions, comparing student performance between schools or regions, and influencing educational policy. In order to evaluate student performance and make data-driven decisions for the educational system, standardized testing is essential to education.

1.1 Definition and types of Standardized Tests (ST)

Definition:

In order to measure a person's knowledge, skills, abilities, or other characteristics and enable accurate and valid comparisons between individuals or groups, standardized tests are assessments that are given and scored in a consistent and predetermined manner.

1.1.1 Different Kinds of Standardized Exams:

- **Achievement Tests:** These assessments are ẋt to evaluate an individual's knowledge in a specific field of study or subject. They are frequently employed in educational settings to gauge a student's proficiency in language arts, science, and math (APA, 2020).
- **Aptitude Tests:** These assessments gauge a person's capacity to acquire new skills or abilities in the future. These assessments are frequently used in career and employment contexts to assist employers in determining an individual's suitability for a given job task.
- **Intelligence tests:** These assessments, which include the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scales and the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS), are intended to gauge a person's general intellectual functioning, problem-solving abilities, and cognitive capacities (APA, 2020).
- **Personality tests:** A person's personality traits, emotional states, and psychological well-being are evaluated through personality tests such as the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI). Clinical psychology and mental health settings frequently employ these tests (APA, 2020).
- **Diagnostic tests** are standardized evaluations that are performed to ascertain whether a particular medical condition or disease is present or absent (APA, 2020). Examples of these tests include laboratory tests and medical imaging.
- **Language Proficiency Tests:** These assessments, which include the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) and the Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL), gauge an individual's capacity to use a specific language for academic or professional settings (APA, 2020).
- **Standardized College Admissions Tests:** As part of their admissions process, colleges and universities use tests such as the ACT (American College Testing) and SAT (Scholastic Assessment Test) to determine a student's readiness for higher education (APA, 2020).

2. Historical context of standardized testing

The earliest known instances of standardized testing date back to the early 1900s. Numerous factors, such as the need for large-scale assessment to be efficient and the goal of making education more objective and scientific, influenced the development of standardized tests (Kubiszyn & Borich, 2015). The development of the first intelligence test in 1905 by scholars such as Alfred Binet is credited with bringing standardized testing into education (Binet & Simon, 1916). The Army Alpha and Beta tests, designed to evaluate the cognitive skills of enlistees in the armed forces during World War I, marked the beginning of the widespread use of standardized testing in the United States (Anastasi & Urbina, 1997). The early 20th century saw the development of standardized tests, which were influenced by eugenics and the need to measure and categorize people according to their abilities. These early assessments were used to classify and arrange people in society in addition to being used for educational purposes. Standardized tests, such as the SAT and ACT, are now required for admission to colleges and are among the state-mandated assessments used in K–12 education. Over time, they have become an essential component of educational assessment. The goals and societal influences that have shaped education and assessment practices are reflected in the historical development of standardized testing. Koch and Borich (2015)

3. Pakistani Education System

Pakistan's education system is divided into three levels: primary, secondary, and university. The Ministry of Federal Education and Professional Training is in charge of the system. Recognizing the difficulties and problems this system faces is crucial.

4. The role of standardized tests in Pakistani schools

Standardized tests play a significant role in the Pakistani education system, serving various functions such as assessing student performance, informing educational policy, and aiding in decision-making processes.

a) **Assessment of Student Learning:** Standardized tests are used to assess the academic progress and learning outcomes of students in various subjects. These tests provide a ẋs to evaluate students' knowledge and skills and identify areas where improvement may be needed (AERA, APA, & NCME, 2014).
b) **Accountability and Evaluation:** Standardized tests are a tool for holding educational institutions accountable for the quality of education they provide. Results are used to evaluate schools, teachers, and educational programs (Popham, 2005).
c) **Curriculum Development:** Test results often influence curriculum development and revisions, helping educators align teaching methods and content with the goals and standards set by the education system (AERA, APA, & NCME, 2014).
d) **Policy Formulation:** Standardized test data inform educational policies, helping policymakers make decisions about resource allocation, teacher training, and curriculum changes (Khalid, 2010).

5. Critiques of standardized tests in assessing student learning

Critiques of standardized tests in assessing student learning:

a) Cultural, racial, or socioeconomic biases in test design and content may result in unfair advantages for particular groups on standardized tests (American Educational Research Association, 2014).
b) Narrow Assessment of Skills: Other significant components of learning are often overlooked in favor of a small subset of skills, usually math and language arts (Koretz, 2017).
c) Teaching to the Test: The emphasis on standardized testing may result in "teaching to the test," in which teachers curtail the breadth and depth of their curriculum in favor of test preparation (Nichols & Berliner, 2007).
d) Stress and Anxiety: Students' performance and mental health may be impacted by the stress and anxiety that high-stakes standardized tests can cause (Lazarus, 2018).
e) One-Size-Fits-All Approach: According to Ravitch (2016), these tests frequently take a one-size-fits-all approach, which ignores the variations and unique learning styles of each student.
f) Limited Evaluation of Critical Thinking and Creativity: Higher-order thinking skills, problem-solving prowess, and creativity may not be adequately assessed by standardized tests (APA, 2020).
g) Insufficient Feedback for Improvement: According to Darling-Hammond (2017), standardized tests usually give teachers and students insufficient feedback for enhancing instruction.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This study employed a quantitative research design to collect and analyze numerical data related to standardize testing and student learning outcomes. Descriptive statistics, including ẋs, standard deviations were used to analyze the quantitative data.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS:

TABLE 1: You believe standardized tests accurately measure your understanding of the subject matter.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
You believe standardized tests accurately measure your understanding of the subject matter.	400	3.1538	1.040070
	400		

Interpretation of Table1: The Ẋ value is 3.1538. In this context, the Ẋ represents the average score or level of agreement with the statement about standardized tests measuring understanding. A higher Ẋ (closer to the maximum score) would suggest stronger agreement with the statement. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 1.040070 indicates the variability or dispersion in the responses. A larger standard deviation implies more diversity in opinions or beliefs regarding the accuracy of standardized tests in measuring understanding.

TABLE 2: How often do you feel that your performance on standardized tests reflects your true understanding of the material?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
How often do you feel that your performance on standardized tests reflects your true understanding of the material?	400 400	3.2051	1.240026

Interpretation of Table 2: Ẋ (3.2051): This suggests that, on average, the respondents felt moderately positive about the correlation between their performance on standardized tests and their actual understanding of the material. The Ẋ of 3.2051, on a scale that might range from, for example, 1 to 5, indicates a tendency towards a moderate agreement. Standard Deviation (1.240026): The standard deviation being somewhat moderate implies that the responses were somewhat dispersed around the Ẋ.

TABLE 3: In your opinion, do standardized tests adequately assess your critical thinking and problem-solving skills?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
In your opinion, do standardized tests adequately assess your critical thinking and problem-solving skills?	400 400	3.1538	1.040070

Interpretation of Table 3: The Ẋ score of 3.1538 suggests that, on average, respondents provided opinions slightly above the midpoint (which would be 3 on a scale likely used in the survey). This indicates that, overall, the respondents, on average, believe that standardized tests somewhat adequately assess their critical thinking and problem-solving skills. The standard deviation of 1.040070 signifies the variability in responses.

TABLE 4: How well do you think your performance on standardized tests predicts your overall academic success?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
How well do you think your performance on standardized tests predicts your overall academic success?	400 400	3.2051	.97817

Interpretation of Table 4: Ẋ: The Ẋ score of the responses is 3.2051. In this context, it could be interpreted that, on average, the respondents perceive a moderate relationship between their standardized test performance and their overall academic success. A Ẋ of 3.2051, on a hypothetical scale, might suggest that most respondents believe there is some, but not a very strong, connection between

standardized test performance and overall academic success. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 0.97817 indicates the degree of variability or dispersion in the responses.

TABLE 5: How confident are you that standardized tests in the Pakistani context provide consistent results for students who have similar knowledge and skills?

How confident are you that standardized tests in the Pakistani context provide consistent results for students who have similar knowledge and skills?	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
	400	3.0000	1.07606
	400		

Interpretation of Table 5: The Ẋ response of 3.0000 indicates the average confidence level of the respondents regarding the consistency of standardized tests. On a scale where the possible range of responses is not specified, it's difficult to precisely gauge what this Ẋ score signifies without knowledge of the scale itself. For example, if the scale is from 1 to 5, a Ẋ of 3 might suggest moderate confidence. But without information on the scale's range, it's challenging to precisely interpret the magnitude of this Ẋ. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 1.07606 suggests the dispersion of responses around the Ẋ. A lower standard deviation generally indicates that the responses are closer to the Ẋ, showing less variability in respondents' confidence levels

TABLE 6: To what extent do you believe that standardized tests accurately measure what they are intended to measure in the Pakistani education system?

To what extent do you believe that standardized tests accurately measure what they are intended to measure in the Pakistani education system?	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
	400	2.9231	1.15587
	400		

Interpretation of Table 6: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ value of 2.9231 indicates the average response given by the participants. In this context, the average perception or belief regarding the accuracy of standardized tests was around 2.9231. Since the Ẋ is on a scale, the specific scale and its interpretation might be available in the research context. However, it seems to be leaning towards a moderate opinion. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 1.15587 shows the variability or dispersion of responses around the Ẋ. In this case, it Ẋs that the opinions or beliefs of the respondents about the accuracy of standardized tests vary or spread out around the average value of 2.9231.

TABLE 7: How well do you think standardized tests align with the curriculum and learning objectives in the Pakistani education system?

How well do you think standardized tests align with the curriculum and learning objectives in the Pakistani education system?	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
	400	3.0256	1.06344
	400		

Interpretation of Table 7: The Ẋ of 3.0256 indicates the average or central tendency of the responses. In this case, the Ẋ value suggests a moderate opinion or perception regarding the alignment of standardized tests with the curriculum and learning objectives.. The standard deviation of 1.06344 measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. A higher standard deviation signifies

more variability among the responses. In this context, a standard deviation of approximately 1.06 indicates that the opinions or perceptions about the alignment of standardized tests vary moderately around the Ẋ value.

TABLE 8: How often do you find inconsistencies or biases in the questions or scoring of standardized tests in the Pakistani context?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
How often do you find inconsistencies or biases in the questions or scoring of standardized tests in the Pakistani context?	400	2.6667	1.19942
	400		

Interpretation of Table 8: Ẋ: The Ẋ value of the responses is 2.6667. In the context of a Likert scale, this Ẋ suggests that, on average, respondents perceive a moderate frequency or occurrence of inconsistencies or biases in the standardized tests in Pakistan. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation is 1.19942. This indicates the extent of variation or dispersion in the responses. A lower standard deviation suggests that the responses are closer to the Ẋ, while a higher standard deviation would indicate more diversity in the responses.

TABLE 9: How well do standardized tests accommodate students with different learning styles or abilities?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
How well do standardized tests accommodate students with different learning styles or abilities?	400	3.0000	.94591
	400		

Interpretation of Table 9: The 'Ẋ' value of 3.0000 suggests the average or central tendency of the scores related to the variable. This Ẋ score of 3 might represent the overall perception or rating related to how well standardized tests accommodate different learning styles or abilities. A Ẋ of 3, if the measurement scale permits, might indicate a neutral or moderate perception. The 'Std. Deviation' of .94591 indicates the amount of variability or dispersion in the scores.

TABLE 10: To what extent do you think standardized tests foster a competitive learning environment among students in Pakistan?

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
To what extent do you think standardized tests foster a competitive learning environment among students in Pakistan?	400	3.1795	1.14413
	400		

Interpretation of Table 10: Ẋ: The Ẋ (average) of the responses for this question is 3.1795. This indicates the average score or level at which respondents, on average, feel that standardized tests contribute to a competitive learning environment. For instance, if the scale were from 1 to 5, this Ẋ suggests that, on average, respondents rated it slightly above the midpoint. Std. Deviation: The standard deviation is a measure of the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. In this case, the standard deviation is 1.14413. This figure signifies the degree to which responses deviate or differ from the Ẋ.

TABLE 11: Standardized tests accurately assess students' knowledge and skills.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests accurately assess students' knowledge and skills.	400	3.4872	1.04810
	400		

Interpretation of Table 11: A Ẋ of 3.4872 suggests that, on average, respondents or observations tended to somewhat agree or lean towards the belief that standardized tests accurately assess students' knowledge and skills. The standard deviation of 1.04810 indicates that responses or scores varied by approximately 1 point around the Ẋ. This suggests moderate variability in opinions or assessments regarding the accuracy of standardized tests.

TABLE 12: Standardized tests help identify areas where students need improvement.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests help identify areas where students need improvement.	400	3.7179	.91619
	400		

Interpretation of Table 12: The "Ẋ" of 3.7179 suggests an average or central tendency score regarding the effectiveness of standardized tests in identifying areas for improvement. this Ẋ value indicates that, on average, there might be a positive perception or a tendency toward agreement with the statement that standardized tests aid in identifying areas for student improvement. The "Standard Deviation" of 0.91619 shows the variability or dispersion of the data around the Ẋ. A smaller standard deviation indicates that the data points are closer to the Ẋ, while a larger one suggests greater variability. In this case, a standard deviation of 0.91619 indicates moderate variability around the Ẋ score of 3.7179.

TABLE 13: Standardized tests provide a fair representation of students' abilities.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests provide a fair representation of students' abilities.	400	3.5128	.99662
	400		

Interpretation of Table 13: The Ẋ of 3.5128 indicates the average response to the statement that standardized tests provide a fair representation of students' abilities. In a context where responses are possibly measured on a scale, the Ẋ suggests a tendency towards agreement with the statement. The standard deviation of 0.99662 gives an idea of the variability or dispersion of responses around the Ẋ.

TABLE 14: Standardized tests create unnecessary stress among students.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests create unnecessary stress among students.	400	3.1795	.96986
	400		

Interpretation of Table 14: Ẋ: The Ẋ value for the data collected from the 400 participants is 3.1795. This is the average score or rating given by the participants, which indicates their perception of the

stress caused by standardized tests. In this case, a higher ẋ suggests that, on average, the participants perceived standardized tests as more stressful. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is a measure of the variability or spread of the data. In this case, it is 0.96986. A higher standard deviation indicates that the data points are more dispersed, which ẋs that participants' opinions on the stress caused by standardized tests vary more widely.

TABLE 15: Standardized tests hinder creativity and critical thinking in the classroom.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests hinder creativity and critical thinking in the classroom.	400	3.4359	1.07103
	400		

Interpretation of Table 15: ẋ: The average value of the variable related to how standardized tests hinder creativity and critical thinking in the classroom. The ẋ value is 3.4359. Standard Deviation: This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the data. In this context, the standard deviation is 1.07103, indicating how much the individual scores deviate from the ẋ value of 3.4359. These statistics suggest that, on average, the respondents or subjects of the study seem to express a moderate level of agreement or disagreement (depending on the scale used) regarding the idea that standardized tests hinder creativity and critical thinking in the classroom. The relatively low standard deviation suggests that the opinions or responses might be clustered closely around the ẋ, indicating less variability in attitudes or perceptions about this topic among the participants.

TABLE 16: Standardized tests put excessive pressure on teachers to "teach to the test.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests put excessive pressure on teachers to "teach to the test.	400	3.5128	1.07292
	400		

Interpretation of Table 16: ẋ: The ẋ score given by the respondents for this statement is 3.5128. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of the scores given by the respondents is 1.07292. The ẋ score of 3.5128 suggests that, on average, the respondents tended to agree somewhat with the statement that standardized tests exert excessive pressure on teachers to teach specifically to the content found in those tests. The standard deviation of 1.07292 shows the degree of variability in the responses

TABLE 17: Standardized tests adequately measure students' overall academic performance.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests adequately measure students' overall academic performance.	400	3.3333	1.08418
	400		

Interpretation of Table 17: The ẋ score of 3.3333 suggests that, on average, the respondents leaned towards the belief that standardized tests are moderately adequate in measuring students' overall academic performance. The standard deviation of 1.08418 indicates that the opinions or scores given by the respondents varied moderately around this ẋ score. Some respondents might have strongly agreed or disagreed while others were more neutral in their opinions.

TABLE 18: Standardized tests accurately reflect students' understanding of the curriculum.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests accurately reflect students' understanding of the curriculum.	400	3.2308	.87243
	400		

Interpretation of Table 18: On average, the respondents tend to somewhat agree (given the Ẋ of 3.2308) that standardized tests accurately reflect students' understanding of the curriculum. However, the standard deviation (0.87243) indicates that there's a considerable amount of variability in the responses.

TABLE 19: Standardized tests motivate students to study and work harder.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests motivate students to study and work harder.	400	3.7949	.89382
	400		

Interpretation of Table 19: Ẋ: The Ẋ value provided (3.7949) suggests the average level of agreement or response to the statement "Standardized tests motivate students to study and work harder." Here, a Ẋ of 3.7949 indicates that, on average, the respondents tend to agree or have a positive inclination towards the statement. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation value (.89382) shows the dispersion or spread of responses around the Ẋ. A lower standard deviation generally suggests that responses are closer to the Ẋ.

TABLE 20: Standardized tests create a competitive learning environment among students.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests create a competitive learning environment among students.	400	3.4872	.914008
	400		

Interpretation of Table 20: Ẋ: 3.4872. This number (3.4872) could represent the average score or response on a scale measuring the perceived impact of standardized tests on creating a competitive learning environment. In this context, a higher score might indicate a stronger agreement with the statement, while a lower score might imply disagreement or a milder impact. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): .914008. The standard deviation shows the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses

TABLE 21: Standardized tests influence the teaching methods used by teachers.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests influence the teaching methods used by teachers.	400	3.4359	.99459
	400		

Interpretation of Table 21: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ value of 3.4359 represents the average influence of standardized tests on teaching methods. In this case, the Ẋ indicates a moderate level of influence. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 0.99459 shows the extent to which the data is dispersed

or deviates from the ẋ. A smaller standard deviation indicates that the data points are closer to the ẋ, suggesting less variability in responses regarding the influence of standardized tests on teaching methods.

TABLE 22: Standardized tests limit the scope of curriculum development and focus only on tested subjects.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests limit the scope of curriculum development and focus only on tested subjects.	400	3.6667	.86855
	400		

Interpretation of Table 22: ẋ: The ẋ value of 3.6667 suggests that, on average, the participants' opinions or attitudes lean towards. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation of .86855 indicates the dispersion or variability in responses from the ẋ. A lower standard deviation suggests that the opinions are relatively close to the ẋ, while a higher standard deviation would indicate more diverse opinions among the respondents.

TABLE 23: Standardized tests encourage schools to prioritize tested subjects over others.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests encourage schools to prioritize tested subjects over others.	400	3.8205	.85446
	400		

Interpretation of Table 23: ẋ: The ẋ value is 3.8205. This average indicates the central tendency of the responses regarding the prioritization of tested subjects due to standardized tests. In a typical Likert scale, where 1 might represent strong disagreement and 5 strong agreements, 3.82 lies closer to agreement. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is 0.85446. This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. A smaller standard deviation generally indicates that the responses are closer to the ẋ, suggesting less variability in opinions.

TABLE 24: Standardized tests promote a narrow and rote memorization-based approach to learning.

	N	ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests promote a narrow and rote memorization-based approach to learning.	400	3.6667	.98230
	400		

Interpretation of Table 24: The ẋ (average) score for the statement or research hypothesis is 3.6667. This value is a measure of central tendency and suggests that, on average, the participants in the study tend to agree with the statement. In this context, it indicates that the participants, on average, perceive that standardized tests promote a narrow and rote memorization-based approach to learning. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation is 0.98230. This is a measure of the variability or dispersion of the responses in the study.

TABLE 25: Standardized tests have a positive impact on educational policies and reforms.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests have a positive impact on educational policies and reforms.	400 400	3.3590	.90284

Interpretation of Table 25: The Ẋ value of 3.3590 suggests that, on average, the respondents rated their agreement with this statement relatively high. The standard deviation of 0.90284 indicates the dispersion or variability in the responses. A lower standard deviation generally implies that the responses were closer to the Ẋ, whereas a higher standard deviation suggests more variability in respondents' opinions. This information is helpful in understanding the central tendency and variability in respondents' views regarding the positive impact of standardized tests on educational policies and reforms. It suggests a generally positive outlook among the sample studied.

TABLE 26: Standardized tests hinder innovative teaching approaches.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests hinder innovative teaching approaches.	400 400	3.6410	.93153

Interpretation of Table 26: Ẋ: The Ẋ (average) score for the data collected in the study is 3.6410. This suggests that, on average, the respondents or participants in the study had a moderately positive view or rating related to the idea that standardized tests hinder innovative teaching approaches. The scale used for this rating is not specified in the table, so it's important to refer to the research article for that information. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is a measure of the spread or variability in the responses. In this case, the standard deviation is approximately 0.93153.

TABLE 27: Standardized tests promote a one-size-fits-all approach to education.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests promote a one-size-fits-all approach to education.	400 400	3.4615	1.02202

Interpretation of Table 27: Ẋ: 3.4615 - This could represent the average score or response on a scale related to the degree of agreement or disagreement with the statement. In this case, the Ẋ is slightly above the midpoint (assuming a scale where 1 might represent strong disagreement and 5 might represent strong agreement). This could indicate a moderate level of agreement with the statement. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): 1.02202 - This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. A higher standard deviation typically suggests more diversity in responses.

TABLE 28: Standardized tests provide useful data for identifying educational strengths and weaknesses.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests provide useful data for identifying educational strengths and weaknesses.	400 400	3.7949	1.08044

Interpretation of Table 28: Ẋ: The Ẋ score related to the usefulness of standardized tests for identifying educational strengths and weaknesses is 3.7949. This number could represent the average response to a question or statement related to the perceived utility of standardized tests in education. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is 1.08044. This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. A higher standard deviation indicates that the responses or opinions regarding the usefulness of standardized tests are more spread out from the Ẋ.

TABLE 29: Standardized tests shape educational policies based on students' performance.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests shape educational policies based on students' performance.	400 400	3.4872	.96986

Interpretation of Table 29: Ẋ (Average): The 'Ẋ' value is 3.4872. This is the average value of some variable or rating related to the topic. It's not explicitly mentioned what this value represents, but it is likely some measurement or rating that the participants provided in response to the topic of standardized tests and educational policies. Std. Deviation (Standard Deviation): The 'Std. Deviation' value is 0.96986. Standard deviation measures the amount of variation or dispersion in a set of values.

TABLE 30: Standardized tests discourage teachers from using creative teaching methods.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests discourage teachers from using creative teaching methods.	400 400	3.2051	1.28103

Interpretation of Table 30: Ẋ: 3.2051 - This likely indicates an average score or the average response to the statement provided by the participants. In this case, it might suggest that, on average, participants somewhat agree or have a moderate level of agreement that standardized tests discourage teachers from using creative teaching methods. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): 1.28103 - This measure shows the extent of variability or dispersion in the responses to the statement.

TABLE 31: Standardized tests should include a broader range of assessment methods (e.g., projects, presentations) to capture students' diverse skills.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should include a broader range of assessment methods (e.g., projects, presentations) to capture students' diverse skills.	400	3.7692	.84173
	400		

Interpretation of Table 31: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ score of 3.7692 suggests that, on average, the participants rated their agreement or position on including a broader range of assessment methods quite high, likely indicating a favorable view towards this idea. The scale used for assessment might range from 1 to 5 or some similar range, where a score of 3.7692 is relatively high within that range. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 0.84173 is a measure of the amount of variation or dispersion in the scores.

TABLE 32: Standardized tests should be administered at appropriate intervals to avoid excessive testing and stress.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should be administered at appropriate intervals to avoid excessive testing and stress.	400	3.8205	1.04810
	400		

Interpretation of Table 32: Ẋ: The average or central tendency of the responses to this statement is 3.8205. In the context of a scale, it suggests that, on average, respondents tend to moderately agree or are somewhat in favor of the idea that standardized tests should be spaced appropriately to prevent excessive stress related to testing. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): This measures the dispersion or variability of responses around the Ẋ.

TABLE 33: Standardized tests should align more closely with the curriculum taught in classrooms.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should align more closely with the curriculum taught in classrooms.	400	3.6923	.95018
	400		

Interpretation of Table 33: Ẋ: The average response or rating regarding the alignment of standardized tests with the classroom curriculum is 3.6923. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is a measure of the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. In this case, it's 0.95018. A lower standard deviation generally suggests that the responses are closer to the Ẋ.

TABLE 34: Standardized tests should provide detailed feedback to students for areas of improvement.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should provide detailed feedback to students for areas of improvement.	400	3.7692	.90209
	400		

Interpretation of Table 34: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ of 3.7692 suggests that, on average, the respondents somewhat agreed that standardized tests should provide detailed feedback to students for areas of improvement. The scale of agreement might be from 1 to 5 or 1 to 7, for instance, where 1 could indicate strong disagreement and 5 (or 7) strong agreement. A Ẋ close to 4 suggests that the respondents are generally in favor of this idea. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation of 0.90209 indicates the extent of variability or dispersion in the responses.

TABLE 35: Standardized tests should be used in conjunction with other assessment methods to provide a comprehensive evaluation of students' learning.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should be used in conjunction with other assessment methods to provide a comprehensive evaluation of students' learning.	400 400	4.0000	.82717

Interpretation of Table 35: Ẋ: The Ẋ (average) value of the responses or ratings given by the participants regarding the statement. Here, the Ẋ is 4.0000. In the context of a Likert scale (common in educational research), where participants rate their level of agreement on a scale (e.g., from 1 to 5), a Ẋ of 4 suggests a relatively high level of agreement with the statement presented. Std. Deviation: This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses or ratings given by the participants. In this case, the standard deviation is .82717.

TABLE 36: Standardized tests should involve teachers in the test development process to ensure alignment with classroom teaching.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should involve teachers in the test development process to ensure alignment with classroom teaching.	400 400	4.0000	.79472

Interpretation of Table 36: Ẋ: The Ẋ value is 4.0000. In many Likert scale-based surveys or studies, this would suggest a strong agreement with the statement. In a typical Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, a Ẋ of 4 would indicate that, on average, respondents strongly agreed that involving teachers in standardized test development is crucial for aligning these tests with classroom teaching. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is 0.79472. This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses.

TABLE 37: Standardized tests should be used as a diagnostic tool to identify specific learning needs and provide targeted support.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should be used as a diagnostic tool to identify specific learning needs and provide targeted support.	400 400	3.7436	.90954

Interpretation of Table 37: Ẋ: The Ẋ value, in this case, is 3.7436. This number is the average score or response given by the participants in relation to the statement or variable being measured. In this context, it seems to be an indicator of the average agreement or support for the use of standardized tests as a diagnostic tool for identifying specific learning needs. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation is 0.90954. It represents the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses of the participants.

TABLE 38: Standardized tests should consider the cultural and linguistic diversity of students.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should consider the cultural and linguistic diversity of students.	400	3.5897	1.37109
	400		

Interpretation of Table 38: Ẋ: The Ẋ value associated with the statement is 3.5897. In a context where respondents were asked to rate or express their agreement on a scale (e.g., from 1 to 5 or 1 to 7), this Ẋ value suggests that, on average, the respondents tended to agree or support the idea that standardized tests should consider cultural and linguistic diversity. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation is 1.37109. This measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses.

TABLE 39: Standardized tests should not be the sole determinant of students' academic achievements.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should not be the sole determinant of students' academic achievements.	400	3.8462	.81235
	400		

Interpretation of Table 39: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ value in this context is 3.8462. This number represents the average response or score from the 400 participants on a scale that is not explicitly mentioned. Standard Deviation (Std. Deviation): The standard deviation, denoted as .81235, indicates the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses or scores of the 400 participants. A higher standard deviation suggests more variability among the responses.

TABLE 40: Standardized tests should be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure relevance and validity.

	N	Ẋ	Std. Deviation
Standardized tests should be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure relevance and validity.	400	3.8974	1.07103
	400		

Interpretation of Table 40: Ẋ (Average): The Ẋ value calculated from the responses or ratings given by the participants in the study is 3.8974. Standard Deviation: The standard deviation measures the amount of variation or dispersion in the responses. In this case, it's 1.07103. This value signifies how much the individual responses deviate from the Ẋ value.

DISCUSSION:

The presented tables provide an overview of respondents' perceptions regarding various aspects of standardized testing in the Pakistani education system. Let's discuss some key points from the data and draw insights from the findings.

Perceptions of Standardized Testing Accuracy: Tables 1, 3, 11, 17, and 18 all suggest that, on average, respondents tend to believe that standardized tests are moderately accurate in measuring understanding, critical thinking, academic performance, and curriculum understanding. However, there is noticeable variability in responses (indicated by the standard deviations) in Tables 1, 3, and 18, suggesting diverse opinions. For instance, in Table 1, while the $\dot{X}$ is around 3.15, the standard deviation of approximately 1.04 implies that some respondents strongly agree with the accuracy of standardized tests, while others strongly disagree. **Perceptions of the Impact on Students:** Tables 12, 14, and 15 reveal that respondents generally acknowledge the potential stress created by standardized tests and their impact on creativity and critical thinking in the classroom. For instance, in Table 12, the $\dot{X}$ score of 3.7179 indicates that, on average, respondents agree that standardized tests help identify areas where students need improvement, but the standard deviation of 0.91619 suggests that opinions vary to some extent. **Alignment with Curriculum and Learning Objectives:** Table 7 indicates that respondents perceive a moderate alignment between standardized tests and the curriculum and learning objectives. The $\dot{X}$ score of 3.0256 suggests a tendency toward agreement. The standard deviation of 1.06344 indicates some variability in perceptions. Some respondents may strongly agree with this alignment, while others may disagree. **Pressure on Teachers:** Table 16 demonstrates that respondents generally agree that standardized tests put excessive pressure on teachers to "teach to the test" with a $\dot{X}$ score of 3.5128. The standard deviation of 1.07292 suggests some diversity in opinions. Some teachers might strongly feel the pressure to teach to the test, while others may not perceive it as burdensome. **Motivation and Competitive Learning Environment:** Tables 19 and 20 show that respondents generally believe standardized tests motivate students to study and work harder and foster a competitive learning environment. The standard deviations in both tables are relatively small, indicating a consensus among respondents regarding these aspects of standardized testing. **Influence on Teaching Methods:** The $\dot{X}$ suggests a moderate level of influence by standardized tests on teaching methods, with a relatively small standard deviation, indicating a somewhat consistent viewpoint among respondents. **Scope of Curriculum Development:** The $\dot{X}$ indicates agreement that standardized tests limit the scope of curriculum development, with a low standard deviation, signifying a fair level of consensus among respondents. **Prioritization of Tested Subjects:** Respondents lean towards agreement that standardized tests encourage prioritizing tested subjects, with a low standard deviation, suggesting a fairly consistent view among the participants. **Approach to Learning:** Standardized tests are seen to promote a narrow, rote-memorization-based approach to learning. The standard deviation suggests some variability in the extent of agreement among respondents. **Impact on Educational Policies:** There is a generally positive outlook on the impact of standardized tests on educational policies, with moderate variability among responses. **Innovation in Teaching Approaches**: Participants moderately agree that standardized tests hinder innovative teaching approaches. The standard deviation indicates some variability in responses. **One-Size-Fits-All Approach:** The $\dot{X}$ suggests moderate agreement that standardized tests promote a one-size-fits-all approach, with a fair amount of variation in opinions. **Identifying Educational Strengths and Weaknesses:** Respondents agree that standardized tests provide useful data for this purpose, with a moderate level of variability in responses. **Shaping Educational Policies:** The $\dot{X}$ shows that tests shape policies based on students' performance, with a standard deviation indicating some variation among respondents. **Impact on Creative Teaching Methods:** On average, respondents somewhat agree that standardized tests discourage the use of creative teaching methods, with a moderate level of variability.

CONCLUSION:

Based on the data, it can be concluded that there is a moderate level of agreement among respondents regarding the utility and impact of standardized testing in the Pakistani education system. Standardized tests are generally perceived as moderately accurate in assessing various aspects, but there is variability in opinions, with some respondents having stronger beliefs in either direction. The data also highlights the acknowledgment of the stress and impact on creativity and critical thinking caused by standardized tests. Respondents tend to agree that standardized tests align with curriculum and learning objectives and create pressure on teachers to align their teaching with the tests. While standardized tests are seen as motivating and fostering a competitive learning environment, it's important to note that the perceptions may vary among individuals. Overall, the data suggests that standardized testing is a subject of mixed opinions and a certain degree of controversy in the Pakistani education system. To gain deeper insights and refine education policies, further qualitative research and exploration of the specific concerns and perspectives of students, educators, and policymakers are recommended. This will help in developing a more comprehensive understanding of the role and impact of standardized testing in Pakistan's educational landscape. The data from these descriptive statistics reflects a nuanced landscape of opinions. Generally, there's moderate agreement that standardized tests tend to influence and shape educational practices. However, there's variance in the extent of influence, ranging from positive impacts on policy and identification of strengths/weaknesses to the negative consequences of limited curriculum scope and hindrance to innovative teaching. Moreover, it's notable that respondents express the importance of these tests being more diverse, culturally sensitive, and less deterministic in defining academic achievements. The consensus appears strong in supporting changes in the testing format, such as providing more comprehensive feedback, aligning better with classroom teachings, and ensuring periodic reviews for relevance. Overall, the data suggests a need for a balanced approach, advocating for a broader, more flexible testing format that aligns with diverse learning styles while ensuring the relevance and validity of such assessments through regular reviews and updates.

REFERENCES:

[1] Ahmed, M. (2017). Challenges to access and quality in the education system in Pakistan. International Journal of Educational Development, 52, 45-54.

[2] Anastasi, A., & Urbina, S. (1997). Psychological Testing (7th ed.). Prentice-Hall.

[3] Alam, F. (2018). Quality challenges in Pakistani education. Journal of Education and Educational Development, 5(2), 68-78.

[4] Ali, S. (2018). Funding issues in the Pakistani education system. Educational Research and Reviews, 13(4), 137-145.

[5] American Educational Research Association. (2014). Standards for educational and psychological testing. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

[6] APA. (2020). High-stakes testing: Pros and cons. American Psychological Association. https://www.apa.org/ed/precollege/ptn/2013/01/high-stakes-testing

[7] American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.). American Psychological Association.

[8] American Educational Research Association (AERA), American Psychological Association (APA), and National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME). (2014). Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing.

[9] American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.). https://doi.org/10.1037/0000165-000

[10] Binet, A., & Simon, T. (1916). The development of intelligence in children (E. S. Kite, Trans.). Williams & Wilkins.

[11]Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 291-309.
[12]Government of Pakistan. (2006). National Curriculum for Pakistan. Ministry of Education. Retrieved from https://www.mofept.gov.pk/National%20Curriculum%202006%20Final.pdf
[13]Iqbal, S., & Jabeen, A. (2016). Educational assessment in Pakistan: Issues and challenges. Journal of Education and Practice, 7(1), 86-93.
[14]Jamil, S. (2019). Gender disparities in the education system in Pakistan. Gender and Education, 31(4), 488-503.
[15]Khan, A. (2019). Standardized Testing in Pakistan: An Overview. Journal of Education and Educational Development, 6(2), 90-103.
[16]Khan, A. (2020). Infrastructure and resource challenges in Pakistani schools. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 28(50), 1-19.
[17]Kubiszyn, T., & Borich, G. (2015). Educational Testing and Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice. John Wiley & Sons.
[18]Khalid, R. (2010). The role of standardized testing in Pakistani education. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 1(16), 123-132.
[19]Malik, S. (2020). The Role of Standardized Testing in Shaping Education Policy in Pakistan. International Journal of Educational Policy, Research, and Practice, 4(1), 23-38.
[20]Ministry of Education, Pakistan. (2021). National Curriculum for Pakistan. Retrieved from https://www.moe.gov.pk/documents/NC%20Revised%20Book%20Volume%201.pdf
[21]Koretz, D. (2017). The testing charade: Pretending to make schools better. University of Chicago Press.
[22]Kohn, A. (2000). The case against standardized testing: Raising the scores, ruining the schools. Heinemann.
[23]Lazarus, M. (2018). High-stakes testing and students' stress levels. In S. Christenson & A. Reschly (Eds.), Handbook of Student Engagement (pp. 337-349). Springer.
[24]National Testing Service. (2020). About NTS. https://www.nts.org.pk/AboutUs.aspx Nichols, S. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2007). Collateral damage: How high-stakes testing corrupts America's schools. Harvard Education Press.
[25]Popham, W. J. (2005). America's "failing" schools: How parents and teachers can cope with No Child Left Behind.
[26]Ravitch, D. (2016). The death and life of the great American school system: How testing and choice are undermining education. Basic Books.
[27]Rehman, A. (2018). The Impact of Standardized Testing on Student Learning in Pakistan. Educational Research and Reviews, 13(5), 201-215.
[28]Saleem, A. (2019). Political interference in the education system of Pakistan. Education Policy and Planning, 38(5), 587-602.
[29]Siddiqui, Z. (2015). Standardized testing in Pakistani schools: Critiques and implications. Pakistan Journal of Education, 32(1), 37-52.
[30]Shah, R. (2017). Challenges and Prospects of Standardized Testing in Pakistan. Journal of Educational Assessment and Evaluation, 1(1), 17-30.
[31]UNESCO. (2017). National education assessment systems in South Asia: Policy, practice, and results. http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0025/002582/258267E.pdf
[32]Zia, M. (2016). Language barriers in Pakistani education. International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 19(4), 442-459.